## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA AIKEN DIVISION

Raymond Chestnut,	) Civil Action No.: 1:22-cv-00678-RBH
Petitioner,	)
v.	) ORDER
Marcus Rhodes, Director,	)
Respondent.	)
	)

Petitioner Raymond Chestnut, proceeding pro se, has filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. *See* ECF No. 1. This matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation ("R & R")<sup>1</sup> of United States Magistrate Judge Shiva V. Hodges, who recommends summarily dismissing this action. *See* ECF No. 6.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with this Court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270–71 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the R & R to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).

Petitioner has not filed objections to the R & R, and the time for doing so has expired.<sup>2</sup> In the absence of objections to the R & R, the Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the Magistrate Judge's recommendations. *See Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199–200

The Magistrate Judge issued the R & R in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2)(e) (D.S.C.).

Petitioner's objections were due by March 25, 2022. See ECF Nos 6 & 7.

1:22-cv-00678-RBH Date Filed 04/11/22 Entry Number 10 Page 2 of 2

(4th Cir. 1983). The Court reviews only for clear error in the absence of an objection. *See Diamond* v. *Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (stating that "in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct de novo review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the

Having found no clear error, the Court **ADOPTS** the Magistrate Judge's R & R [ECF No. 6] and **DISMISSES** Petitioner's petition *with prejudice* and without requiring Respondent to file an answer or return.

recommendation" (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note)).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Florence, South Carolina April 11, 2022

s/ R. Bryan HarwellR. Bryan HarwellChief United States District Judge